

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/811,228	03/26/2004	Hanson Gifford III	022128-000400US	9042	
20350	7590 09/26/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER			PEFFLEY, N	PEFFLEY, MICHAEL F	
EIGHTH FLO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN FRANC	CISCO, CA 94111-3834	1	3739		

DATE MAILED: 09/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

NT

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Office Ac	tion Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20	0060914		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rmal Patent Application			
Attachment(s)					
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the defined copies not le				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 3. State of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
Application Papers					
	aon anazor election requilen				
 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) <u>26-31 and 72-74</u> are subject to restric 	tion and/or election requires	nent			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>26-31 and 72-74</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>26-31 and 72-74</u> is/are pending in the	application				
Disposition of Claims					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	action is non-final.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Ja	nuani 2005				
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status		,,			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH cause the application to become ABAN	TION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communition (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Period for Reply					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Michael Peffley ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addres	·s		
omee Action Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit			
Office Action Summary	10/811,228	GIFFORD ET AL.			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			

Art Unit: 3739

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 26-31, drawn to a method of treating a patent foramen ovale, classified in class 128, subclass 898.
- II. Claims 72-74, drawn to an apparatus for treating a patent foramen ovale, classified in class 606, subclass 041.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus may be used in a materially different process, such as the treatment of uterine tissue.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Art Unit: 3739

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Peffley whose telephone number is (571) 272-4770. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 6am-3pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/811,228

Art Unit: 3739

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michael Peffley
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3739

Page 4

mp

September 14, 2006